

# Widespread occurrence of the micro-organism Wolbachia in ants

# T. Wenseleers<sup>1\*</sup>, F. Ito<sup>2</sup>, S. Van Borm<sup>1</sup>, R. Huybrechts<sup>1</sup>, F. Volckaert<sup>1</sup> and J. Billen<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Zoological Institute, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Naamsestraat 59, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium

For more than 20 years, sex allocation in hymenopteran societies has been a major topic in insect sociobiology. A recurring idea was that relatedness asymmetries arising from their haplodiploid sex determination system would lead to various parent—offspring conflicts over optimal reproduction. A possible weakness of existing theory is that only interests of nuclear genes are properly accounted for. Yet, a diversity of maternally transmitted elements manipulate the reproduction of their host in many solitary arthropod groups. The bacterium *Wolbachia* is a striking example of such a selfish cytoplasmic element, with effects ranging from reproductive incompatibility between host strains, induction of parthenogenesis and feminization of males. This paper reports on a first PCR-based *Wolbachia* screening in ants. Out of 50 Indo-Australian species, 50% screened positive for an A-group strain. One of these species also harboured a B-group strain in a double infection. Various factors that might explain the unusually high incidence of *Wolbachia* in ants are discussed. In general, *Wolbachia* may represent a widespread and previously unrecognized party active in the conflicts of interest within social insect colonies.

**Keywords:** *Wolbachia*; *ftsZ*; ants; selfish cytoplasmic elements

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

After more than 20 years, the seminal papers by Hamilton (1964a,b) and Trivers & Hare (1976) have sparked off an enormous number of studies dealing with sex ratio evolution and reproductive conflicts in hymenopteran societies (Bourke & Franks 1995; Crozier & Pamilo 1996). A recurring idea was that their haplodiploid sex determination system makes full-sisters exceptionally highly related, because of the shared genes contributed by their haploid father (Hamilton 1964b). Moreover, in colonies headed by a single, once-mated queen, the non-reproductive workers would pass on copies of their own genes three times as efficiently through female full-sister reproductives (related by 3/4) than through brother reproductives (related by 1/4), or 50% more efficiently through their own sons (mostly fullnephews, related by 3/8) than through queen's sons (brothers, related by 1/4). This unusual relatedness setting would lead to various conflicts between the queen and her worker offspring over optimal reproduction, e.g. regarding male parentage and optimal sex allocation (Trivers & Hare 1976).

A possible weakness of the current theories on reproductive conflicts in hymenopteran societies is that only interests of nuclear genes are properly accounted for. Yet, in many solitary arthropod groups, a diversity of maternally transmitted elements manipulate their host's reproduction to their own advantage thereby opposing the interests of the nuclear genes (Hurst 1993; Hurst *et al.* 1997). Most of these elements cause their host to produce

broods of mostly female offspring, the sex which maximizes their spread owing to the exclusive maternal inheritance, and have been termed cytoplasmic sex ratio distorters. Strategies that cause female bias range from male killing (reviewed by Hurst (1991)), induction of all-female parthenogenetic reproduction, feminization of genetic males and increasing the fertilization frequency in male haploids ('maternal sex ratio'; Skinner 1982).

The maternally inherited bacterium Wolbachia is a striking example of such a selfish cytoplasmic element, with effects ranging from reproductive incompatibility between host strains ('cytoplasmic incompatibility'; Barr 1980; O'Neill & Karr 1990; Breeuwer & Werren 1990; reviewed by Hoffmann & Turelli (1997)), parthenogenesis induction in some parasitoid wasps (Stouthamer et al. 1993; Schilthuizen & Stouthamer 1997; reviewed by Stouthamer (1997)) and feminization of genetic males in isopods (Rousset et al. 1992; Martin et al. 1994; reviewed by Rigaud et al. (1997)). Recent surveys have found both of the major strains of Wolbachia (designated A and B; Rousset et al. 1992; Breeuwer et al. 1992; Stouthamer et al. 1993; Werren et al. 1995a) in over 16% of New World insect species across all major orders (Werren et al. 1995b). In addition, the bacteria have been found in isopods (Rousset et al. 1992) and in mites (Johanowicz & Hoy 1995; Tsagkarakou et al. 1996; Breeuwer & Jacobs 1996), and a close relative has been reported in a nematode (Sironi et al. 1995). Cytoplasmic incompatibility seems to be the most common mode of action, involving a kinselected (Frank 1997) reproductive incompatibility between infected sperm and uninfected eggs, resulting in zygotic death in diploid species (Yen & Barr 1971) or male production in haplodiploids (Saul 1961; Ryan &

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Biological Laboratory, Kagawa University, Takamatsu 760, Japan

<sup>\*</sup>Author for correspondence (tom.wenseleers@bio.kuleuven.ac.be).

Saul 1968; Breeuwer & Werren 1990). A general review of the biology of Wolbachia can be found in Werren (1997) and O'Neill et al. (1997).

Several authors have suggested that Wolbachia might also influence reproductive patterns in social Hymenoptera (Crozier & Pamilo 1993, 1996; Bourke & Franks 1995; Heinze & Tsuji 1996). Various factors add up to the intricate complexity of selfish cytoplasmic elements in social species, calling for a Wolbachia screening in this group. In particular, an incompatibility micro-organism is expected to spread more easily in a social species compared with a solitary one because effects of cytoplasmic incompatibility would be expressed both at the individual and 'society' levels. The aim of the present paper is to report on a first detailed Wolbachia screening in ants, generally regarded as model organisms for the study of social organization. We focus on the tropical Indo-Australian ant fauna, where all major ant subfamilies coexist and species of various sociogenetic structures are found. All species are surveyed for Wolbachia using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of the bacterial ftsZ protein-coding gene. Various factors that might influence the invasion and/or maintenance of Wolbachia in social Hymenoptera are discussed.

#### 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### (a) Sampling and DNA extraction

Workers of 50 ant species were collected in the national reserves of Kebun Raya Bogor, Ujung Kulon, Mt Gede, Mt Sarak-Bogor (West Java) and Padang (West Sumatra) during April 1997 and were subsequently preserved in 95% ethanol. In the light of previous results (T. Wenseleers, unpublished data) that have shown near absence of any intrapopulation infection polymorphism and equally efficient detection of Wolbachia in workers, males and alate queens, only workers of a single colony per species were included in the present study. The pre-extraction treatment and all DNA extraction procedures were performed under a laminar flow hood in a separate laboratory facility that is not located in proximity to the laboratory where PCR amplification or DNA sequencing took place. Possible external contamination was eliminated by brief immersion in 70% EtOH, followed by two rinses of doubledistilled water and exposure for 5 min to 250 nm UV. DNA was extracted by boiling the pooled contents of the abdomens minus the digestive tracts (to eliminate the risk of contamination from infected prey species (Johanowicz & Hoy 1996)) of six workers in 300 µl (600 µl for large species) of a 10% Biorad Chelex 100 resin solution for 30 min. Because six individuals of each species were used, and assuming complete absence of any experimental failure in DNA extraction or amplification, one can calculate from the binomial distribution that a power of ca. 90% was attained to detect Wolbachia if it were present in only 20% of the workers. The samples were centrifuged and stored at -20 °C before use. Samples of all species investigated in this study have been deposited in the collection of the Bogor National Reserve for future taxonomic reference.

#### (b) PCR amplification

Based on GenBank-deposited Wolbachia ftsZ sequences (Holden et al. 1993; Werren et al. 1995a; Hoshizaki & Shimada 1995), primer pairs were developed which selectively amplify Aand B-group Wolbachia. The general forward primer FtsZFT2

5'-GAAGGTGTGCGACGTATGCG-3' combined with the specific reverse primers FtsZRTA1 5'-CTCTGAGTCTTCGCT-CTGACTTATAGG-3' and FtsZRTB2 5'-ACTCTTTCGTTTG-TTTGCTCAGTTG-3' were used to amplify a 591-base pair (bp) and 670-bp stretch of the Wolbachia ftsZ gene of strains A and B, respectively. Results have shown that these primer pairs are specific for Wolbachia, as they fail to amplify other members of the alpha proteobacteria such as the closely related rickettsial Adalia bipunctata bacterium (Stouthamer et al. 1993), and the more distantly related Agrobacterium tumefaciens, Bartonella bacilliformis, Caulobacter crescentus and Sinorhizobium meliloti. The following insect mitochondrial DNA (COII gene) specific primers were used to ascertain the quality of all DNA samples used: CII 1-1 5'-CTTTATCAACATTTATTTTGATTTTT-3' and CII 1-2 5'-TACTCCAATAAATATTATAATAAATTG-3' (for more details see Hoshizaki & Shimada (1995)).

The PCR amplification reactions were carried out in 15 µl reaction mixtures consisting of 0.5 µM of each primer, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 5 µl of the crude DNA extract, 0.3 U of Taq polymerase (AmpliTaq, Perkin Elmer Cetus) and 1 × enzyme buffer supplied by the manufacturer. Each reaction mixture was overlaid with about 20 µl of mineral oil. PCR was performed with initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min, followed by 45 cycles consisting of 94 °C for 30 s, 60 °C for 1 min and 72 °C for 2 min, and a final 10 min extension at 72 °C. A sample of 10 µl of this reaction mixture was electrophoresed with a 100 bp DNA ladder size standard (GibcoBRL) on 1.5% agarose minigels. DNA bands were visualized by ethidium bromide staining. Two Nasonia vitripennis strains (LbII, harbouring Wolbachia A- and B- group clones, and TX, uninfected) were included as positive and negative controls in every amplification.

## 3. RESULTS

Out of a total of 50 ant species screened, 25 (50%) proved to be infected by an A-group Wolbachia (table 1). Only one Monomorium species carried a B-group strain in a double infection. Seven out of eight subfamilies surveyed contained infected species (for the Dorylinae, only one species was censused, which turned out to be negative), and there was no significant subfamily-related bias in susceptibility ( $\chi^2 = 3.7$ , d.f. = 7, p > 0.1).

Looking at table 1 for possible patterns in infection status, one can see that there is a weak association between Wolbachia prevalence and mode of colony foundation. In ants, colonies propagate either by queens unassisted by workers (independent founding, 'haplometrosis'), by a foundress association of several cooperating queens (dependent-founding, 'pleometrosis'), or by queens assisted by workers (dependent founding). In the latter case, colonies either split up into a number of daughter colonies, each headed by a new queen, immediately after sexuals have been produced (dependent founding, fissioning), or they release mated queens accompanied by buds of workers (dependent founding, budding). Out of eight obligate haplometrotic species (Polyrhachis cryptoceroides, Pheidole sp. 1, P. tandjongensis, Pristomyrmex brevispinosus, Gnamptogenys costata, Hypoponera sp., Odontomachus simillimus and Probolomyrmex dammermani), only Probolomyrmex dammermani was Wolbachia-positive (13%) versus 11 out of 22 (50%) for (facultatively) dependent-founding species. This difference is on the borderline of statistical significance ( $\chi^2 = 0.06$ , d.f. = 1, p = 0.06). In addition, most

Table 1. Ant species surveyed for Wolbachia infection

(Except when noted, all material was collected in the Kebun Raya Bogor National Reserve of West Java. Social structure (M, monogyny; P, polygyny), type of reproduction (performed by AQ, alate queens; EQ, wingless ('ergatoid') queens; G, mated workers ('gamergates'); GQ, gamergates and/or queens), mode of colony propagation (H, independent ('haplometrosis'); P, foundress associations ('pleometrosis'); B, budding; F, fissioning) and nesting habits (A, arboreal; S, soil nesting) are shown whenever data could be recorded. Species for which these characters could be measured accurately are asterisked and have been included in the statistical treatment.)

subfamily species (Bogor National Reserve Collection access number)	<i>Wolbachia</i> strain	$\begin{array}{c} \text{social structure} \\ (M/P) \end{array}$	type of reproduction (G/GQ/EQ/AQ)	$\begin{array}{c} colony \\ propagation \\ (H/P/B/F) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{nesting} \\ \text{habits} \\ (A/S) \end{array}$
Formicinae	6/8=75%				
Acropyga activentris	Á	M?	AQ?	H?	S
*Anoplolepis longipes	A	P	$\widetilde{AQ}$	H/B	S
Paratrechina sp.1 (FI97-5)	A	?	AQ?	?	S
Paratrechina sp. 2 (FI97-17)	A	?	AQ?	?	S
*Polyrhachis cryptoceroides	_	M	AQ	H	A
Polyrhachis sp. (FI97-623)		?	AQ	9	A
Pseudolasius sp. 1 (FI97-77)	A	?	AQ	?	S
Pseudolasius sp. 2 (FI97-625)	A	?	AQ	?	S
= '		•	11%	•	S
Dolichoderinae	2/4 = 50%	Ď.		2	
Dolichoderus sulcaticeps	_	5	AQ	5	A
* Dolichoderus thoracicus	_	P	AQ	H/B	A
* Tapinoma sp. (FI97-621)	A	P	AQ	H/B	A
Technomyrmex butteli	A	5	$\mathrm{AQ^{i}}$	;	A
Aenictinae	1/2 = 50%				
*Aenictus javanus	A	M	EQ	F	S
*Aenictus laeviceps <sup>a</sup>	_	M	EQ	F	Š
<u>*</u>	0/1 00/		~		-
Dorylinae	0/1 = 0%	M	FO	E	C
*Dorylus laevigatus		M	EQ	F	S
Leptanillinae	2/2 = 100%				
*Leptanilla sp. 1 (FI97-556)	A	M?	EQ	F	S
*Leptanilla sp. 2 (FI97-581)	A	M?	EQ	F	S
Myrmicinae	8/18 = 44%				
*Acanthomyrmex ferox <sup>b</sup>	A	P	AQ	H/B	S
Cardiocondyla sp. (FI97-20)	A	M?	AQ?	?	S
Crematogaster sp. (F197-627)	A	?	AQ?	?	A
Monomorium sp. 1 (FI97-16)	Λ	M–P	AQj AQj	: H/B?	S
Monomorium sp. 1 (F197-10) Monomorium sp. 2 (F197-628)	— A+B	M-P		H/B?	S
*Myrmecina sp. A $^{c}$	А⊤Б	P	AQ <sup>j</sup>	F	S
-	<del></del>	5	EQ	?	S
Myrmicaria castanea	<del></del>		AQ		
Pheidole plagiara	_		AQ	5	S
*Pheidole sp. 1 (FI97-631)	_	M	AQ	H	S
Pheidole sp. 2 (FI97-80)	A	?	AQ	5	S
*Pheidole tandjongensis		M	AQ	H	S
*Pheidologeton affinis	A	$\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{k}}$	AQ	P	S
Pheidologeton sp. (FI97-31)	_	5	AQ?	•	S
*Pristomyrmex brevispinosus <sup>b</sup>		M	AQ	H	S
Strumigenys koningsbergeri	A	M?	AQ	H?	S
Strumigenys sp. 2 (FI97-626)	A	M-P	AQ	H/B?	S
* Tetramorium kheperra	_	P	AQ	H/B	S
Tetramorium sp. (FI97-18)	_	P?	AQ	H/B	S
Pseudomyrmecinae	1/1 = 100%				
Tetraponera sp. (FI97-624)	Á	?	AQ?	;	A
Ponerinae	5/14 = 36%		=		
*Amblyopone reclinata <sup>f</sup>	A = 30%	P	G	$\mathrm{B}/\mathrm{F}$	S
	Λ		G G	B <sup>i</sup>	
* Diacamma rugosum <sup>h</sup>	_	M M			A/S
*Diacamma sp. (FI97-273) <sup>a</sup>	_	M M	G	B/F	S
*Gnamptogenys costata <sup>a</sup>		M P <sup>l</sup>	AQ	H H	S
*Gnamptogenys dammermani		<del>-</del>	AQ	H/B?	S
*Hypoponera sp. (FI97-55)	_	M	AQ	H	S
		M	$EQ^{m}$	F	S
* Leptogenys diminuta <sup>g</sup> *Leptogenys myops <sup>g</sup>	A	M	EQ	F	S

(continued)

Table 1 (continued)

subfamily species (Bogor National Reserve Collection access number)	<i>Wolbachia</i> strain	$\begin{array}{c} \text{social structure} \\ (M/P) \end{array}$	type of reproduction (G/GQ/EQ/AQ)	$\begin{array}{c} colony \\ propagation \\ (H/P/B/F) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{nesting} \\ \text{habits} \\ (A/S) \end{array}$
*Myopias emeryi <sup>d</sup>	A	P	AQ	H/B	S
*Odontomachus simillimus	_	M	AQ	H	S
*Odontomachus rixosus	A	P	AQ	$\mathrm{B}/\mathrm{F}$	S
*Pachycondyla astuta <sup>c</sup>	_	P	GQ	H/B/F	S
*Platythyrea sp. (FI97-611) <sup>b</sup>	_	P	GQ	H/B/F	S
$*Probolomyrmex\ dammermani$	A	M	AQ	Н	S

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Collected from Ujung Kulon National Park.

of the species of table 1 have not been studied in detail before, making it impossible to sort out possible confounding variables. Only more extensive data on wellstudied species will allow firm conclusions in this respect.

Wolbachia infections also occur both in the normal alate queen species, as well as in the more atypical species where reproduction is performed by wingless ('ergatoid', EQ species in table 1) queens or mated workers ('gamergates', G and GQ species in table 1). Moreover, susceptibility to Wolbachia infection seems to be independent of nesting habits (table 1,  $\chi^2 = 0.00$ , d.f. = 1, p > 0.05).

### 4. DISCUSSION

The present study shows that Wolbachia is extremely common in Indonesian ants, with 50% of the species infected overall: the highest infection rate, we believe, ever reported in any animal group. The infection rate is between two and four times higher than that reported in a general screening of New World insect species (Werren et al. 1995b) and Drosophila (Bourtzis et al. 1996), and is also higher than the 35-40% positives reported in mites (Breeuwer & Jacobs 1996). When the infection rates among species with various social structures are compared, it becomes clear that this high incidence seems largely restricted to the dependently founding species class where 50% of the species are infected versus 13% for the independently founding species. In general, this unusually common occurrence could be due to (i) the use of different primer pairs perhaps also mapping to more conserved template regions, or (ii) facilitated invasion and/or particularly stable maintenance of Wolbachia in these social Hymenoptera. The latter idea is investigated below where we list some of the major factors that might influence the spread and maintenance of Wolbachia in hymenopteran societies. In this discussion and in the absence of any empirical data on the exact effects of the bacterium in ants, we will assume that cytoplasmic incompatibility is the predominant mode of action (which in insects is generally true (Werren 1997)), with feminization being ruled out (haploid queens would be sterile), and—as noted by Hurst & Peck (1996)—parthenogenesis induction being limited to the chalcidoid and cynipoid parasitoid wasps (Stouthamer 1997), the only hymenopteran taxa where the gamete duplication diploidization system typical of Wolbachia (Stouthamer 1997) does not lead to the production of diploid males instead of females (Cook 1993). Further evidence arguing against a general role in parthenogenesis induction is that whereas Wolbachia is very common in ants, female parthenogenesis (thelytoky) has been reported in only five out of ca. 8800 described species (Heinze & Tsuji 1995), and that those species are all Wolbachia-negative (T. Wenseleers, unpublished data).

# (a) Cytoplasmic incompatibility in social insects: additional 'society'-level selection

Typically, a hymenopteran society can produce sexuals only after an initial ergonomic worker-producing phase. In such a system, cytoplasmic incompatibility would result in fitness deficits connected to both the individual and 'society' levels of organization of its host. At the 'society' level, incompatible matings would make queens experience a greater mortality during their colony founding stages because the phenotypic effect of lowering the primary sex ratio would make them very inefficient at producing female workers. In addition, any queens that did succeed in establishing a successful colony would tend to produce an above-average male-biased sexual brood

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Collected from Mt Sarak (Bogor).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Collected from Mt Gede (West Java).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Collected from Padang (West Sumatra).

e Ito(1996).

f Ito (1993).

g Ito (1997).

h Fukumoto et al. (1989).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup>T. albipes has alate queens and intercastes (Yamauchi et al. 1991). No data were available concerning the presence of intercastes in this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>j</sup>An Australian *Monomorium* species of the *rothsteini* group has intercastes (Briese 1983). The presence of intercastes was not investigated in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>k</sup> Both polygynous and monogynous colonies were collected. Polygynous colonies have been proposed to be incipient colonies, implying a

pleometrotic mode of colony foundation (Moffett 1988).

This species is functionally monogynous, i.e. a single inseminated dealate queen coexists with a number of virgin dealate queens (F. Ito, unpublished data).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>m</sup>Wilson (1958).

just as in solitary Hymenoptera (Saul 1961; Ryan & Saul 1968; Breeuwer & Werren 1990). Therefore, an incompatibility micro-organism like *Wolbachia* is expected to act more forcefully and spread more readily in a social host compared with a solitary one.

# (b) Population structure: high population viscosity associated with budding and fissioning

Ant species reproducing by budding or fissioning are poor dispersers and are therefore usually characterized by a high population viscosity (e.g. Chapuisat *et al.* 1997). This might facilitate the spread of *Wolbachia* by reducing the effectively breeding population (effective population size), making stochastic processes allowing invasion of *Wolbachia* more likely (Turelli 1994; Turelli & Hoffmann 1991, 1995). The observed association between *Wolbachia* prevalence and presence of dependent colony founding is in agreement with this idea.

# (c) Evolutionary changes in Wolbachia and hosts

A final possibility is that infection patterns are best explained not in terms of particular invasion criteria (as in (a) and (b) above), but mainly as a long-term outcome of selection for increased compatibility levels favoured by both the host and/or the parasite (Turelli 1994; Hurst & McVean 1996), a process that would ultimately lead to stochastic loss of infection. Evolutionary changes in the ant hosts might be expected by analogy with the similar risk of making a matched mating at the sex-determining locus (Cook 1993; Cook & Crozier 1995). In particular, dependent colony foundation (or polygyny) has been proposed to provide a way to evade fitness losses resulting from 'incompatible' matched matings (e.g. Ross & Fletcher 1985; Ross 1993). Multiple maternity might therefore be an alternative to multiple paternity (Zeh & Zeh 1996, 1997) as a strategy to avoid fitness losses resulting from cytoplasmic incompatibility. In this respect, selection for dependent founding or greater selection against sperm modification in the independently founding species class could be an alternative explanation for the observed association between Wolbachia infection and mode of colony foundation. The critical parameter that will need to be determined is whether the frequency of incompatible matings is high enough for the cost of a nuclear modifier to be offset by the benefit of reduced incompatibility.

It needs to be stressed that the three factors described above are not meant to be exhaustive in explaining the spread and maintenance of *Wolbachia* in social Hymenoptera. For example, the typical large and perennial nature of most ant societies makes them arguably highly susceptible to parasites (Boomsma & Ratnieks 1996). Most importantly, however, there is an urgent need for detailed data on the aetiology of *Wolbachia* in social Hymenoptera, so that the effects of incompatibility hypothesized in this and other papers (Hurst 1997) can either be refuted or confirmed. The present study at least shows that *Wolbachia* might be more common and important than previously thought in the social Hymenoptera, introducing yet another level of conflict in insect societies.

We thank J. Werren for providing the positive and negative controls and B. Hellemans, J. Vanden Broeck and A. Arndt for their help in part of the molecular work. F. Ratnieks, J. J. Boomsma,

L. Sundström and three anonymous referees provided many helpful comments on the manuscript. We acknowledge LIPI (the Indonesian Institute of Science) for permitting us to collect ants in Indonesia. This research was funded by the Flemish Fund for Scientific Research (FWO-Vlaanderen, grant no. 2.0014.94).

#### **REFERENCES**

- Barr, A. R. 1980 Cytoplasmic incompatibility in natural populations of a mosquito, *Culex pipiens L. Nature* **283**, 71–72.
- Boomsma, J. J. & Ratnieks, F. L. W. 1996 Paternity in eusocial Hymenoptera. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* B **351**, 947–975.
- Bourke, A. F. G. & Franks, N. R. 1995 Social evolution in ants. Princeton University Press.
- Bourtzis, K., Nirgianaki, A., Markakis, G. & Savakis, C. 1996 Wolbachia infection and cytoplasmic incompatibility in Drosophila species. Genetics 144, 1063–1073.
- Breeuwer, J. A. J. & Jacobs, G. 1996 Wolbachia: intracellular manipulators of mite reproduction. Exp. Appl. Acarol. 20, 421–434.
- Breeuwer, J. A. J. & Werren, J. H. 1990 Microorganisms associated with chromosome destruction and reproductive isolation between two insect species. *Nature* **346**, 558–560.
- Breeuwer, J. A. J., Stouthamer, R., Burns, D. A., Pelletier, D. A., Weisburg, W. G. & Werren, J. H. 1992 Phylogeny of cytoplasmic incompatibility microorganisms in the parasitoid wasp genus *Nasonia* (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) based on 16S ribosomal DNA sequences. *Insect Molec. Biol.* 1, 25–36.
- Briese, D. T. 1983 Different modes of reproductive behaviour (including a description of colony fission) in a species of *Chelaner* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Insectes Soc.* **30**, 308–316.
- Chapuisat, M., Goudet, J. & Keller, L. 1997 Microsatellites reveal high population viscosity and limited dispersal in the ant Formica paralugubris. Evolution 51, 475–482.
- Cook, J. M. 1993 Sex determination in the Hymenoptera: a review of models and evidence. *Heredity* 71, 421–435.
- Cook, J. M. & Crozier, R. H. 1995 Sex determination and population biology in the Hymenoptera. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 10, 281–286.
- Crozier, R. H. & Pamilo, P. 1993 Sex allocation in social insects: problems in prediction and estimation. In *Evolution* and diversity of sex ratio in insects and mites (ed. D. L. Wrensch & M. A. Ebbert), pp. 369–383. London: Chapman & Hall.
- Crozier, R. H. & Pamilo, P. 1996 Evolution of social insect colonies: sex allocation and kin selection. Oxford University Press.
- Frank, S. A. 1997 Cytoplasmic incompatibility and population structure. J. Theor. Biol. 184, 327–330.
- Fukumoto, Y., Abe, T. & Taki, A. 1989 A novel form of colony organization in the queenless ant *Diacamma rugosum. Physiol. Ecol. Jap.* 26, 55–61.
- Hamilton, W. D. 1964a The genetical evolution of social behaviour. I. J. Theor. Biol. 7, 1–16.
- Hamilton, W. D. 1964b The genetical evolution of social behaviour. II. J. Theor. Biol. 7, 17–52.
- Heinze, J. & Tsuji, K. 1995 Ant reproductive strategies. Res. Popul. Ecol. 37, 135–149.
- Hoffmann, A. A. & Turelli, M. 1997 Cytoplasmic incompatibility in insects. In *Influential passengers—inherited microorganisms and* arthropod reproduction (ed. S. L. O'Neill, A. A. Hoffmann & J. H. Werren), pp. 42–80. Oxford University Press.
- Holden, P. R., Brookfield, J. F. Y. & Jones, P. 1993 Cloning and characterization of an fts Z homologue from a bacterial symbiont of Drosophila melanogaster. Molec. Gen. Genet. 240, 213–220.
- Hoshizaki, S. & Shimada, T. 1995 PCR-based detection of Wolbachia, cytoplasmic incompatibility microorganisms, infected in natural populations of Laodelphax striatellus (Homoptera: Delphacidae) in central Japan: has the distribution of Wolbachia spread recently? Insect Molec. Biol. 4, 237–243.

- Hurst, G. D. D. 1997 Wolbachia, cytoplasmatic incompatibility, and the evolution of eusociality. J. Theor. Biol. 184, 99–100.
- Hurst, L. D. 1991 The incidences and evolution of cytoplasmic male killers. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 244, 91–99.
- Hurst, L. D. 1993 The incidences, mechanisms and evolution of cytoplasmic sex ratio distorters in animals. *Biol. Rev.* 68, 121–193.
- Hurst, L. D. & McVean, G. T. 1996 Clade selection, reversible evolution and the persistence of selfish elements: the evolutionary dynamics of cytoplasmic incompatibility. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* B 263, 97–104.
- Hurst, L. D. & Peck, J. R. 1996 Recent advances in understanding of the evolution and maintenance of sex. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 11, 46–52.
- Hurst, G. D. D., Hurst, L. D. & Majerus, M. E. N. 1997 Cytoplasmic sex-ratio distorters. In *Influential passengers—inherited microorganisms and arthropod reproduction* (ed. S. L. O'Neill, A. A. Hoffmann & J. H. Werren), pp. 125–154. Oxford University Press.
- Ito, F. 1993 Social organization in a primitive ponerine ant—queenless reproduction, dominance hierarchy and functional polygyny in *Amblyopone* sp. (reclinata group) (Hymenoptera: Formicidae: Ponerinae). J. Nat. Hist. 27, 1315–1324.
- Ito, F. 1996 Colony characteristics of the Indonesian myrmicine ant Myrmecina sp. A (Hymenoptera, Formicidae, Myrmicinae): polygynous reproduction by ergatoid queens. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 89, 550–554.
- Ito, F. 1997 Colony composition and morphological caste differentiation between ergatoid queens and workers in the ponerine ant genus *Leptogenys* in the Oriental tropics. *Ethol. Ecol. Evol.* 9, 335–343.
- Johanowicz, D. L. & Hoy, M. A. 1995 Molecular evidence for a Wolbachia endosymbiont in the predatory mite Metaseiulus occidentalis. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 89, 453–441.
- Johanowicz, D. L. & Hoy, M. A. 1996 Wolbachia in a predatorprey system: 16S ribosomal DNA analysis of two phytoseiids (Acari, Phytoseiidae) and their prey (Acari, Tetranychidae). Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 89, 435–441.
- Martin, G., Juchault, P. & Legrand, J. J. 1973 Mise en évidence d'un microorganisme intracytoplasmatique symbiote de l'Oniscoïde *Armadillidium vulgare* Latr. dont la présence accompagne l'intersexualité ou la féminisation totale des mâles génétiques de la ligne thélygène. *C.R. Acad. Sci. III* 276, 2312–2316.
- Moffett, M. W. 1988 Nesting, emigrations, and colony foundation in two group-hunting myrmicine ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae: *Pheidologeton*). In *Advances in myrmecology* (ed. J. C. E. Trager), pp. 355–370. Leiden: E. J. Brill.
- O'Neill, S. L. & Karr, T. L. 1990 Bidirectional incompatibility between conspecific populations of *Drosophila simulans*. *Nature* **348**, 178–180.
- O'Neill, S. L., Hoffmann, A. A. & Werren, J. H. (eds) 1997 Influential passengers—inherited microorganisms and invertebrate reproduction. Oxford University Press.
- Rigaud, T., Juchault, P. & Mocquard, J. P. 1997 The evolution of sex determination in isopod crustaceans. *BioEssays* 19, 409–416.
- Ross, K. G. 1993 The breeding system of the fire ant *Solenopsis invicta*: effects on colony genetic structure. *Am. Nat.* **141**, 554–576.
- Ross, K. G. & Fletcher, D. J. C. 1985 Genetic origin of male diploidy in the fire ant, *Solenopsis invicta* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae), and its evolutionary significance. *Evolution* 39, 888–903.

- Rousset, F., Bouchon, D., Pintureau, B., Juchault, P. & Solignac, M. 1992 Wolbachia endosymbionts responsible for various alterations of sexuality in arthropods. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 250, 91–98.
- Ryan, S. L. & Saul, G. B. 1968 Post-fertilization effect of the incompatibility factors in *Mormoniella. Molec. Gen. Genet.* 103, 24–36.
- Saul, G. B. 1961 An analysis of non-reciprocal cross incompatibility in *Mormoniella vitripennis* (Walker). *Z. Vererbungsl.* **92**, 28–33.
- Schilthuizen, M. & Stouthamer, R. 1997 Horizontal transmission of parthenogenesis inducing microbes in *Trichogramma* wasps. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* B **264**, 361–366.
- Sironi, M., Bandi, C., Sacchi, L., Disacco, B., Damiani, G. & Genchi, C. 1995 Molecular evidence for a close relative of the arthropod endosymbiont Wolbachia in a filarial worm. Molec. Biochem. Parasit. 74, 223–227.
- Skinner, S. W. 1982 Maternally inherited sex ratio in the parasitoid wasp *Nasonia vitripennis*. *Science* **215**, 1133–1134.
- Stouthamer, R. 1997 Wolbachia-induced parthenogenesis. In Influential passengers—inherited microorganisms and arthropod reproduction (ed. S. L. O'Neill, A. A. Hoffmann & J. H. Werren), pp. 102–124. Oxford University Press.
- Stouthamer, R., Breeuwer, J. A. J., Luck, R. F. & Werren, J. H. 1993 Molecular identification of microorganisms associated with parthenogenesis. *Nature* **361**, 66–68.
- Trivers, R. L. & Hare, H. 1976 Haplodiploidy and the evolution of the social insects. *Science* **191**, 249–263.
- Tsagkarakou, A., Guillemaud, T. & Navajas, M. 1996 Molecular identification of a Wolbachia endosymbiont in a Tetranychus urticae strain (Acari: Tetranychidae). Insect Molec. Biol. 5, 217–221.
- Turelli, M. 1994 Evolution of incompatibility-inducing microbes and their hosts. *Evolution* **48**, 1500–1513.
- Turelli, M. & Hoffmann, A. A. 1991 Rapid spread of an inherited incompatibility factor in California Drosophila. Nature 353, 440–449
- Turelli, M. & Hoffmann, A. A. 1995 Cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Drosophila simulans*: dynamics and parameter estimates from natural populations. *Genetics* **140**, 1319–1338.
- Werren, J. H. 1997 Biology of Wolbachia. A. Rev. Entomol. 42, 587-609.
- Werren, J. H., Zhang, W. & Guo, L. R. 1995a Evolution and phylogeny of *Wolbachia*: reproductive parasites of arthropods. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* B **261**, 55–63.
- Werren, J. H., Guo, L. & Windsor, D. W. 1995b Distribution of Wolbachia in neotropical arthropods. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 262, 147–204.
- Wilson, E. O. 1958 Studies of the ant fauna of Melanesia. I. The tribe Leptogenyini. II. The tribes Amblyoponini and Platythyreini. Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Harvard 118, 98–153.
- Yamauchi, K. T., Furukawa, T., Kinomura, K., Takamine, H. & Tsuji, K. 1991 Secondary polygyny by inbred wingless sexuals in the dolichoderine ant *Technomyrmex albipes. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* **29**, 313–319.
- Yen, J. H. & Barr, A. R. 1971 New hypothesis of the cause of cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Culex pipiens*. *Nature* 232, 657–658.
- Zeh, J. A. & Zeh, D. W. 1996 The evolution of polyandry. I. Intragenomic conflict and genetic incompatibility. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 263, 1711–1717.
- Zeh, J. A. & Zeh, D. W. 1997 The evolution of polyandry. II. Post-copulatory defences against genetic incompatibility. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* B 264, 69–75.